#### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

# **Lincoln Park Public Schools**

92 Ryerson Road • Lincoln Park, NJ 07035 • (973) 696-5500 (Phone) • (973) 696-9273 (Fax)

Joyce J. Valenza, Ed.D. Superintendent of Schools James T. Tevis School Business Administrator/ Board Secretary

MEDEIVEN & HOLED LAND

July 08, 2002

JUL 1 8 2002

FCC Office of the Secretary 445-12<sup>th</sup> Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Reference:

CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21

Form 470 Application Number: 451210000396614 Form 471 Application Numbers: 298490, 319289

Billed Entity Number: 122692

FedEx Shipping Doc#: 833078303042

Letter of Appeal, dated 04/15/2002 Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2002-2003 dated June 24, 2002

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter serves to appeal the "Denied in Full" decision made by the SLD in regards to our original correspondence dated April 15, 2002 (see attached). Our certification pages, along with Attachment 21, were postmarked by the SLD posted deadline of January 17, 2002. Records indicate that there was a problem with the delivery (see attached) and therefore, the documentation had to be re-sent by our district.

The original shipping documentation was marked to bill via 3<sup>rd</sup> Party account. We can only assume that FedEx did not correctly identify the account number and changed (on their own accord) the method of delivery. If our district had been afforded the opportunity to correct this problem upon delivery on January 18, 2002, our Form 471 applications would have been deemed on time.

The SLD guidelines state that the certification pages must be postmarked by January 17, 2002. There are no other guidelines regarding problems/issues with the delivery of documentation. Therefore, our district is in accordance with the rules and regulations set forth by the SLD and our applications should be considered within the filing window.

If additional information is required, please call at 973-696-5500 or send fax request to my attention at 973-696-9273. Thank you for your time and consideration regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

James T. Tevis

Business Administrator / Board Secretary

JTT/jo

Attachment

No. of Copies rec'd Clist ABCDE

G:\Tevis\Word\Finance\SLD Form\_471 Appeal.doc



## Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

### Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2002-2003

June 24, 2002

James T. Tevis
School Business Administrator
Lincoln Park School District
92 Ryerson Rd
Lincoln Park, NJ 07035



Re:

Billed Entity Number:

122692

471 Application Number:

319289

Funding Request Number(s):

844720

Your Correspondence Dated:

April 15, 2002

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division ("SLD") of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Year Five Funding Commitment Decision for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). If your letter of appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that for each application for which an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number:

844720

Decision on Appeal:

Denied in full

Explanation:

• In your letter of appeal you have stated that your certification pages, along with Item 21 attachments, were postmarked by the Funding Year 2002 deadline of January 17, 2002. Your records indicate that there was a problem with the delivery and that this documentation had to be re-sent by your district. You note that SLD guidelines specifically state that the certification pages must be postmarked by January 17, 2002. You further state that that your district followed SLD guidelines, and therefore your application should be considered in window. You have included the tracking results from your original submission with your letter of appeal.

- Upon through review of your appeal it was determined that your original Block 6 certification was submitted to SLD with a shipping date of January 17, 2002. This documentation was subsequently refused by SLD and returned to you by FedEx. As our records do not indicate a reason for refusal, you were contacted by program compliance and asked to supply a copy of the airbill for this submission. The airbill you have provided shows that your documentation was sent COD. As this is an unacceptable method of delivery it was properly refused by SLD. Upon return of your submission you re-sent your certification to SLD by overnight mail. The postmark on this mailing is January 22, 2002. You included a letter with this submission stating that your original submission was postmarked January 17. 2002 but due to an error on the original packing slip the package was refused and returned to your office. As you have originally submitted your certification page by an unacceptable method of delivery, your documentation and its postmark date cannot be considered by SLD. Your second submission, postmarked January 22, 2002, is acceptable by SLD. This is the date that must be used as received by SLD. As this date is beyond the FY 2002 window of January 17, 2002 your appeal is denied
- The submission of this funding request was postmarked January 22, 2002, which caused the form to be rejected as out of window. Forms that are received out of window are not considered for funding. Your appeal has not shown that the request was improperly denied. Consequently, this funding request will not be data entered and your appeal is denied.

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) via United States Postal Service: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445-12<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. If you are submitting your appeal to the FCC by other than United States Postal Service, check the SLD web site for more information. Please reference CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21 on the first page of your appeal. The FCC must RECEIVE your appeal WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE ABOVE DATE ON THIS LETTER for your appeal to be filed in a timely fashion. Further information and new options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site, www.sl.universalservice.org.

We thank you for your continued support, patience, and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company



## Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2002-2003

June 24, 2002

James T. Tevis Lincoln Park School District 92 Ryerson Road Lincoln Park, NY 07035

Re:

Billed Entity Number:

122692

471 Application Number:

298490

Funding Request Number(s):

781816, 781823, 781833, 781841, 781849

Your Correspondence Dated: April 15, 2002

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division ("SLD") of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Year Five Funding Commitment Decision for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). If your letter of appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that for each application for which an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number:

781816, 781823, 781833, 781841, 781849

Decision on Appeal:

Denied in full

Explanation:

- In your letter of appeal you have stated that your certification pages, along with Item 21 attachments, were postmarked by the Funding Year 2002 deadline of January 17, 2002. Your records indicate that there was a problem with the delivery and that this documentation had to be re-sent by your district. You note that SLD guidelines specifically state that the certification pages must be postmarked by January 17, 2002. You further state that that your district followed SLD guidelines, and therefore your application should be considered in the filing window. You have included the tracking results from your original submission with your letter of appeal.
- Upon a thorough review of your appeal it was determined that your original Block 6 certification was submitted to SLD with a shipping date of January 17, 2002.
   This documentation was subsequently refused by SLD and returned to you by FedEx. As our records do not indicate a reason for refusal, you were contacted by

program compliance and asked to supply a copy of the airbill for this submission. The airbill you have provided shows that your documentation was sent COD. As this is an unacceptable method of delivery it was properly refused by SLD. Upon return of your submission you re-sent your certification to SLD by overnight mail. The postmark on this mailing is January 22, 2002. You included a letter with this submission stating that your original submission was postmarked January 17, 2002 but due to an error on the original packing slip the package was refused and returned to your office. As you had originally submitted your certification page by an unacceptable method of delivery, your documentation and its postmark date cannot be considered by SLD. Your second submission, postmarked January 22, 2002, is acceptable by SLD. This is the date that must be used as received by SLD. As this date is beyond the FY 2002 window of January 17, 2002 your appeal is denied

The submission of this funding request was postmarked January 22, 2002, which
caused the form to be rejected as out of window. Forms that are received out of
window are not considered for funding. Your appeal has not shown that the
request was improperly denied. Consequently, this funding request will not be
data entered and your appeal is denied.

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) via United States Postal Service: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445-12<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. If you are submitting your appeal to the FCC by other than United States Postal Service, check the SLD web site for more information. Please reference CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21 on the first page of your appeal. The FCC must RECEIVE your appeal WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE ABOVE DATE ON THIS LETTER for your appeal to be filed in a timely fashion. Further information and new options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site, www.sl.universalservice.org.

We thank you for your continued support, patience, and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

#### LINCOLN PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT

92 RYERSON ROAD LINCOLN PARK, NEW JERSEY 07035

> Telephone 973-696-5500 Fax 973-696-9273

Joyce J. Valenza, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

James T. Tevis School Business Administrator **Board Secretary** 

April 15, 2002

Letter of Appeal Schools and Libraries Division Box 125 – Correspondence Unit 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

Reference:

Funding Year: 2002

Form 470 Application Number: 451210000396614 Form 471 Application Numbers: 298490, 319289 **Billed Entity Number:** 

122692

FedEx Shipping Doc#:

833078303042

Via:

Fax: 973-599-6542

To Whom It May Concern,

This letter serves to appeal the decision to consider processing the above mentioned Form 471 applications outside of the filing window. Our certification pages, along with Attachment 21, were postmarked by the SLD posted deadline of January 17, 2002. Records indicate that there was a problem with the delivery (see attached) and therefore, the documentation had to be re-sent by our district.

The SLD guidelines specifically state that the certification pages must be postmarked by January 17, 2002. Our district followed these guidelines, and therefore our applications should be considered within the filing window.

If additional information is required, please send a fax request to my attention at 973-696-9273.

Sincerely yours,

James J. Tevis

School Business Administrator

JJT:Imc Attachment

G:\Tevis\Word\Finance\E-Rate Form\_471 Appeal.doc

#### LINCOLN PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT

92 RYERSON ROAD LINCOLN PARK, NEW JERSEY 07035

> Telephone 973-696-5500 Fax 973-696-9273

Joyce J. Valenza, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

James T. Tevis School Business Administrator Board Secretary

January 21, 2002

SLD – Form 471 C/O Ms. Smith 3833 Greenway Drive Lawrence, KS 66046

To Whom It May Concern:

Please note that the attached documents originally were **postmarked and delivered January 17**, **2002**. Due to an error on the Fed Ex packing slip, the package was refused and returned to my office. Please see attached Fed Ex tracking slip.

As post offices are closed today, this is being mailed overnight via U.S. Mail on Tuesday, January 22, 2002.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

James T. Tevis

**Business Administrator** 

JTT/jo

Enclosures:

Fed Ex Tracking Slip

FCC Form 471

		DECE DEELIS POST 1	1 of 1			
i i	Fedex.	RECP REFUS PCS: 1 18JAN 10:53 Emp*: 21 FACCEPT COD PKGS	4686 Rt#: STA	Fort	CT AURADA	
				Fed 2002.	STANDARD OF	VERNIGHT F
		#: 833078303042		TRK* 8330 78;	30 3042 ESSM	Deliver 8
	$\mathcal{O}$			!		MCI
	1			66046 -KS-	us <b>V</b> A	
	! : 		: '			LWUA
			/ \			
	Pedisa. US	SA Airbill A 3 3 0	78903042	0050	Fødex Retri	Byal Conv
	Prioriess	Marie Branda de Carlos de		Express Package Service	and the state of the state of	taggiae cap an 180 Mac. may be latifu fil along armos.
	B=61/10/201	() Assoluti Fluity Assoluti Fluity	10	edEx Priority Overnight	Defivery contributions of the Contribution	may be tester in account of the country of the coun
	Sender's Name	LUU Rhone 9	18 696-5JOO	FedEx 2Day 20 Fe	dEx Express Sever	0
	Company	was tark bae		Folia Green res not entire la Maioria de co Express Freight Service		Ragges never 190 lbs.
	Address QQ A	verson Poac	2 101		odex 2Day Freight 83 Fede	x 3Day Freight
		1. Q. p m	A 1/1/2/ 1 3-1	Packeging		Techared value limit \$500
	A Your Internal Billing Refer	Sharter 21			dEx Pak*. 1 Othe belief Foles Small Peks, Foles 10 Paks, and Foles Sharak Pek	*
	176 27		Programme and the second	Special Handling	Inches Field: oblives in Section 3.  OLD Woodsley Fedex Location 31 of Fields to Consider the Consider to Consider the Consider to Consider the Consideration that the Consideration the Consideration that	Sex position
	Recipierr's C/A	m H Phone &	88-203-8100	india, triachi premigit and fedite, they to select 20 miles David (sign eligentest contain disregarance for head have recent to chronical.		no with the Proposition of the P
0	Company	EORH 471	A		Pro Beckeration 6 Dry los (LIN lage)	
	Address 383	3 Greenway	7	ress Goods (including Dry test) comet by shipped in Fe	dix pechaging	Vicinit Only
	ն нец а ғысыблетері дек որ		Min chipter differen de P.O. Bellen, hi P.D. ZIP capton.	Bender act. No. in Seption twell be been.	IV. Party 4 Credit Card	CestyCheck C
		wo ke		* BYZAGAZZO		
		Sutia / Sutia				21.12.
				ur iisbility is limited to \$100 unless you déclare a l Release Signature som e contains	igher value. Sug the fauts Separa Builde for detail delivery without ablaiding signature	ET FROM CANTA
				By signing you authorize us to deliker this and ograe to indemnify and hold us have	ghipment well-out estressmen a Blankfura	446
				and agree to indemnity and hold us havin		0



Umited States

ans Pickup International Tools Home | About FedEx | Service Info | Careers | eBusiness Tools | Manage My Account | Customer Service | Site Index

Select More Online Services



Search for



Track Shipments

- Alternate Reference Track
- Email Track
- **Custom Critical**
- Cargo Track
- FedEx Freight

#### Related Links

- Signature Proof
- ► My FedEx
- FedEx Wireless Solutions
- Handheld Track
- FedEx Sidebar
- Print Bind & Ship
- FedEx Address Checker

Track Shipments Detailed Results

> Est. Delivery Reattempt 01/18/2002 by 00:00 Tracking Number 833078303042 Reference Number

Ship Date 01/17/2002

Delivered To **Delivery Location LAWRENCE KS** 

**Delivery Date/Time** Signed For By

Service Type Standard Letter

**Tracking Options** 

- Obtain a Signature Proof of Delivery
- Email these tracking results to one or more recipients
- Track More Shipments



Date/Time Comments Scan Activity 01/18/2002 Pkg returned to shipper Package status LAWRENCE KS 11:48 01/18/2002 Shipment refused by Delivery attempt LAWRENCE KS 10:53 recipient Arrived at FedEx Destination Location 01/18/2002 LAWRENCE KS 08:11 01/18/2002 Left FedEx Ramp KANSAS CITY MO 06:26 Arrived at FedEx Ramp KANSAS CITY MO 01/18/2002 06:16 01/18/2002 Left FedEx Sort Facility MEMPHIS TN 03:47 01/18/2002 Left FedEx Sort Facility MEMPHIS TN 01:28 01/18/2002 Arrived at Sort Facility NEWARK NJ 00:03 01/17/2002 Arrived at Sort Facility NEWARK NJ 22:52 Left FedEx Origin Location FAIRFIELD NJ 01/17/2002 21:41 Picked up by FedEx FAIRFIELD NJ 01/17/2002 18:42 01/17/2002 Arrived at Sort Facility NEWARK NJ

**Email Your Detailed Tracking Results** 

Arrived at Sort Facility MEMPHIS TN

Enter your email (optional), up to three email addresses as recipients, add your message, and click on Send Email.

00:19 01/16/2002

23:48

From	
То	and the second s
To	to the Company of the
To	

Add a message to this email.